



AVP/IM/03/3B
ORIGINAL:English
DATE:March31,2003

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION GENEVA

ADHOC INFORMALMEETINGON THEPROTECTIONOF AUDIOVISUALPERFORMA NCES

Geneva, November 6 and 7,2003

STUDYONAUDIOVISUAL PERFORMERS'CON TRACTSANDREMUNERAT ION PRACTICESINFRANCEANDGERMANY

preparedbyMs.MarjutSalokannel,LL.D.,Doc.,UniversityofHelsinki,Finland

CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>			
INT	RODU	UCTION2			
I.	FRA	ANCE3			
	INTRODUCTION				
	A.	PERFORMERS'RIGHTSU NDERAUTHOR'SRIGHTS LAW			
	B.	INDIVIDUALSTANDARD AGREEMENTS			
	C.	COLLECTIVEADMINISTR ATIONOFRIGHTS			
		1. CollectiveBargainingAgreements			
		2. CollectiveAdministrationofRightsbyCollectingSocieties			
		ADAMI			
	D.	CONCLUDINGREMARKSWITHREGARDTOFRENCHREGULATION ANDCONTRACTUALPRACTICESRELATIVETOAUDIOVISUAL PERFORMERS' RIGHTS			
II.	GERMANY				
	INT	RODUCTION			
	A.	PERFORMERSRIGHTSUN DERCOPYRIGHTLAW			
	B.	COLLECTIVEMANAGEMEN TOFPERFORMERS'RIG HTS34			
	C.	COLLECTIVEADMINISTR ATIONOFRIGHTSBYC OLLECTING SOCIETIES			
	D.	CONCLUDINGREMARKSW ITHREGARDTOGERMAN REGULATION ANDCONTRACTUALPRAC TICESRELATIVETOAU DIOVISUAL PERFORMERS'RIGHTS			
III.	ANI	NCLUDINGREMARKSWITHREGARDTOTHECONTRACTUALPRACTICES DRELATEDREGULATIONOFAUDIOVISUALPERFORMERSIN FRANCE DGERMANY 40			

INTRODUCTION1

Thisstudywascommissioned by the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). Its purpose is to give a general picture of the contractual and remuneration practices of performers in the audiovisual sector in France and Germany. The study will first describe the general statutory protection of audiovisual performers under applicable statutes. There after it will describe the collective bargaining practices or lack thereof for audiovisual performers. Finally it will present the collective administration of rights by collecting societies with respect to the rights of audiovisual performers. The study will examine both countries separately and conclude by a comparative analysis of the two systems.

Regrettablythestudyhasbeenconstrictedbythedifficultieswithregardtoaccessto relevantinformation. This is particularly relevant with regard to certain collecting societies and information regarding collective bargaining pract ices in Germany. However, in spite of the insufficient information in respect of certain areas of the study, the study will never the less give a comprehensive and reliable picture of the contractual and remuneration practices of performers in the audiovisu alsector. For France the picture is more complete than for Germany, but this is not amajor short coming since contractual practices are likely to change in the near future with the new German law on copy right contracts. The new German law is described in detail.

For the preparation of this study I have received in valuable assistance fromrepresentatives of performers' organizations. I would in particular like to express my gratitude toMs.CatherineAlméras,Directorof LeSyndicatfrançaisdesacteurs (SFA);Mr.Laurent Tardif,inchargeoflegalaffairsof Syndicatnationaldes artistesmusiciensdeFranceet d'outre-mer(S.N.A.M.);Mr.JeanVincent,Directorof Sociétécivilepourl'administrationdes droitsdesartistesetmusiciensinterprètes (ADAMI);Mr.CarlMertens,Directorof Deutschen Orchestervereinigung(DOV);andMr.TiloGerlach,Directorof **GesellschaftzurVerwertung** vonLeistungsschutzrechtenmbH (GVL)werehelpfulinansweringmyquestionsrelatingto administrationofrightsinG ermany.Mr. DominickLuquer,GeneralSecretary,International FederationofActors(FIA) ,hasalsoputinagreatefforttoprovidemecontactinformationfor differentparties. Ialsowanttothank Dr. Anette Kur, SeniorResearcherattheMaxPlanck Institute(MPI), forhercommentsontheGermanlaw.InadditionIwouldliketothankall otherpeoplewithwhomIhavehadtheopportunitytodiscussthisstudy.

_

¹AnyviewsexpressedinthisStudyarethoseoftheauthorandnotviewsofWIPO.

I. FRANCE

INTRODUCTION

ThecurrentFrenchregulationrelatingtoperformers'rightsina udiovisualproductions datesbacktotheentryintoforceoftheFrenchauthor'srightslawof1985.Inthatlaw performersweregrantedextensiverightstoauthorizetheuseoftheirperformancesin connectionwithaudiovisualworks,andthelawincluded theprincipleaccordingtowhich performerswereentitledtoremunerationforallexploitationoftheirperformances. This right wasputintoeffectthroughacombinationoflaborlawandauthors'rightslaw,whichmadeit possiblethatremunerations for performershasbeen,inthefirstplace,includedincollective bargaining agreements having extended application in the wholesector.

InthefollowingweshallfirstexplaintheFrenchstatutoryframeworkforperformers' rightsinaudiovisualproductions. Thenweshalldescribetheexistingcollectivebargaining situationrelativetoperformers' rightsintheaudiovisualsector, and, finally, we shall describe how performers' rights are administered by collecting societies in France.

A. PERFORMERS' RIGHTSUNDERAUTHOR' SRIGHTSLAW

Performers' exclusive rights and their assignment under the Frenchlaw

Inordertounderstandtheprotectionofperformers'rightsundertheFrenchlaw,wefirst havetocomprehendthat *undertheFrenchlaw* performersar e *apriori* consideredas *employees* (*salariés*). AccordingArticleL762 -1oftheLaborCode:

"Toutcontratparlequelunepersonnephysiqueoumorales' assure, moyennant rémunération, le concours d'unartiste du spectacle envue de saproduction, est présu mé êt reun contrat detravail de slors que cetartisten' exercepas l'activité, objet de ce contrat, dans des conditions impliquants on inscription au registre du commerce (emphasis added). Cette présomption subsiste quels que soient le mode et le montant de la rémunération, ainsique la qualification donnée au contrat par le sparties. El le n'est pas non plus détruite par la preuve que l'artiste conserve la liberté d'expression de son art, qu'il est propriétaire de tout ou partie du matérie lutilisé ou qu'il emploie lui -même une ou plusieurs personnes pour le se conder, dès lors qu'il participe personne lle mentau spectacle.

"[…]

"Conservelaqualitédesalariél'artistecontractant dans les conditions précitées".

2

Thelawalsoleavesopenthepossib ilityforperformerstoworkasindependent contractors, butinpractice such cases are rareifnon -existent.³

The fact that performers are considered as employees is also the underlying principle with regard to protection of performers 'right sunder Fre ne hauthors' rights law . The related rights

² ArticleL.762 -1ofthe *CodeduTravail* .

Memorandumofthe *Syndicatfrançaisdesartist es-interprètes*. February 2003.

protection given for performers in the authors' rights law is intertwined with and complementary to the labor law based regulation, in particular collective bargaining agreements.

Inthefollowingweshallfir staddressthemainpointsoftheFrenchauthors'rightslaw withregardtorelatedrightsprotectionofperformersfocusing,inparticular,uponthe regulationofcontractualrelationships . Afterthatweshallseehowthelaborlawaffectsthe contractualrelationshipbetweenperformersandproducers.

Therelationship between authors' rights on the one hand, and related rights, including performers rights, on the other hand, is regulated in the general part of the Frenchauthor's rightscode(Codedela propriétéintellectuelle,3 rdJuly1985) .Thelawlaysdowntheprinciple ofindependenceandintangibilityoftheprotectionofauthor's rightsontheonehand, and relatedrightsontheotherhand . AccordingtotheFrenchlaw, relatedrightsshallnot prejudice authors' rights. 4 Consequently, no provision in the law shall be interpreted in such away as to limittheexerciseofanauthorialrightbyitsowner .Thisprincipleappliestoallrelatedright holders, that is, toperformers, phonogram prod ucersandvideogramproducers(whichisthe termusedintheFrenchlawforproducersofaudiovisualfixations) . One of the main intentions ofthisarticlewastoavoidpossibleconflictsbetweentherightsofauthorsandperformerswith regardto, forex ample, the exercise of their moral rights .Thisprovisionofthelawhasbeen interpreted by the court stome ant hat the exercise of related rights may not limit the exercise of the court stome and the exercise of the court stome and the courexclusiverightsofauthors.

The protection of performers' rights with respect to their contributions to audiovisual works may be problematic in practice due to the multitude of performers employed in an audiovisual production, whose roles may often be of very different sizes, extending from that of a lead actor to that of an extraor background performer, who may pronounce only a few sentences at most. The Frenchlaw has tried to confront this problem by making a distinction between interpreting and performing artists on the one hand and the artists considered as complementary in the professional practices, on the other hand.

According to the French copyright law only the actual interpreting and performing artists are protected by related rights. They are defined in the law as persons who act, sing, deliver, declaim, play in orotherwise perform literary or artistic works, variety, circus or puppet acts.

This distinction is also included in the Frenchlabor law. According to Article L.762 -1 of the Labor Code:

⁴ "Neighboringrightsshallnotprejudiceauthors'rights.Consequently,noprovisioninthisTitle shallbeinterpretedinsuchawayastolimittheexerciseofcopyrightbyitsowners." (Art. L. 211-1).

Seecase *Rostropovich* (Tribunaldegrandeinstance, Paris 10.1.1990) and the case *CatelaC. Ralloc. laS.A.CarrèreMusic* (Courde Versailles, 13.2.1992). See also *Edelman, Bernard*, Droitd'auteur, droits voisins, droitd'auteur et marché, éd. Dalloz, Paris 1993, pp. 151f.

[&]quot;Saveforancillaryperformers,consideredsuchbyprofessionalpractice,performersshallbe thosepersonswhoact,sing,deliver,declaim,playinorotherwiseperformliteraryorartistic works,variety,circusorpuppetacts." (Art.L.212 -1.)Seealso *Droitdel'audiovisuel*, ed.Lamy, 2édition,Paris1989,(hereinafterreferredtoas "*Droitdel'audiovisuel*")at480.

⁷ ArticleL.212 -1.

"Sontconsidéréscommeartistes du spectacle, notamment l'ar tistely rique, l'artiste dramatique, l'artiste chansonnier, l'artiste de complément, le chef d'orchestre, l'arrangeur-orchestrateuret, pour l'exécution matérielle de sa conception artistique, le mette urenscène".

8

ThemainprincipleoftheFrenchlawisthat *everyartistperforminginacentralcapacity* enjoysprotectionunderthelaw .Artistswhoperforminancillaryfunctionsfromtheartistic pointofviewareexcludedfromauthor's rightsprot ection.Drawingthelinebetween complementary artists and actual performers is not easy. The preparatory documents giveguidancewithrespecttodramaticperformancesbysayingthatifmorethan13linesare performed by the artist, the artist may be co nsideredasaperformingartistintermsofthelaw. Ifartists who would, according to the prevailing professional practices, beconsidered as complementaryartists, wantto claim their rights under the authors' rights law, they have to provethattheir artisticcontributionssatisfytherequirementsofthelaw. approachadoptedbytheFrenchlawinthisrespecttriestoavoidsituationsinwhichevery personappearingonthescene, even if for only a few seconds, must be taken into accou ntin termsofauthors'rightslaw.

Inprinciple, the Frenchlaw has granted the performers the wholes cope of rights. The exclusive nature of these rights is not, however, identical to that of author's rights but has been tempered by making their exerce is epartly conditional on labor legislation. In the Frenchlaw the rights of performers are intertwined with the (collective) laboragreements. According to the law,

"[t]heperformer'swrittenauthorizationshallberequiredforfixationofhis performance,itsreproductionandcommunicationtothepublicasalsoforanyseparate useofthesoundsorimagesofhisperformancewhereboththesoundsandimageshave beenfixed.

"Suchauthorizationandtheremunerationresultingtherefromshallbegoverned by ArticlesL.762 -1 and L.762 -2 of the Labor Code, subject to Article L.216 -6 of this Code." 10

Inotherwords, under Frenchlaw, performers are granted exclusive rights to authorize:

- (1) thefixationoftheirperformance;
- (2) thereproduction of the fixed performance;
- (3) the communication to the public of the fixed performance; and
- (4) these parateuse of the sounds or images of their performances where both the sounds and images have been fixed.

O ArticleL.212 -3.

-

⁸ *RapportJolibois* ,no.212,TomeII,pp.85.

⁹ Edelman(1993),pp.160.

The Frenchlaw thus provides performers aw iderange of related rights, but the protection is made subject to labor law, which means that the serights may be assigned in individual employmentagreements or incollective laboragreements.

Withregardtotheprotectionoftherightsofperformersi tisveryimportanttonotethat thelawrequires *awrittenauthorization* fromtheperformersforthefixationofthe performances, for reproduction and communication to the public as well as for any further separate use of the sound sandimages of audiovi sual fixations.

ThisprovisioniscomplementedbytheprovisioninArticleL.762 -1ofthelaborlaw accordingtowhich anemploymentcontractmustbeindividual .Thecontractmay,however,be madeforseveralperformersincaseswhereseveralartistsa reemployedforthesame performanceormusiciansbelongingtothesameorchestra.Alsointhiscasethecontractmust mentionthename,andspecifytheindividualsalaries,ofeachperformer.Oneoftheartists maysignthiscontractonbehalfofother artistpresupposingthatshehasamandatefromthem todoso.

Inordertoensurethattheproducerholdsallrightsrelativetotheaudiovisualworkinher handstheFrenchauthors'rights lawprovidesfortheassignmentofperformers'rightstothe produceroftheaudiovisualfixationbythesigningoftheproductioncontract. According to the law the signature of a contract between the performer and a producer for the making of an audiovisual workshall imply the authorization to fix, reproduce and communicate to the public the performance of the performer. The law further provides that this contract shall lay down separate remuneration for each mode of exploitation of the work.

Inotherwords, the Frenchlaw provides for a sort of legal assignment of rights, a cessio legis, to the producer of the work after the performer has signed the employment contract. By virtue of the fact that the performer has accepted to signan employment contract for an audiovisual production with the producer, performer s'rights are assigned automatically, by operation of law, to the producer. It should be emphasized that if now ritten contract exists, there is no assignment of rights and the presumption rule is not effective.

However, this assignment of rights is compensated for in the law itself, which contains a complex regulatory framework to ensure that aperformer receives fair compensation for all further uses of her fixed performance. Accordingly, the contract between the performer and the producer must speci fy as eparate remuneration for each mode of exploitation of the work. The remuneration may be determined either in the individual contractor in a collective agreement.

If neither the individual contract no racollective agreement mentions the remunerat ion for one or more modes of exploitation, the law refers to the common tariffs established in each

-

¹¹ ArticleL .212 -4.

Therehavebeenseveralcourtcasesregardinginterpretationofrequirementforawritten agreementasapre -conditionforthepresumptionruletoenterintoeffect. These courtcases have dealtwith the rights of musicians to the sound track of the film, and the outcome of different cases has been somewhat different. The final say with regard to the se is sue slies with the French Cour de Cassation.

 $sector under specificagreements between the employees 'and employers' organizations \\ representing the profession \\ . ^{13}$

Moreover, the author's rights law (Art. L212-6) provides that Article L762 -2 of the Labor Codes hallonly apply to that part of the remuneration paid in accordance with the contract that exceeds the bases set out in the collective agreement or specific agreement. According to the Labor Code:

"N'estpasconsidéréecommesalairelarémunérationdueàl'artisteàl'occasiondela venteoudel'exploitationdel'enregistrementdesoninterprétation, exécutionou présentationparl'employeuroutoutautreutilisateurdèsquelaprésencephysiquede l'artisten'estplusrequisepourexploiterleditenregistrementetquecetterémunération n'estenrienfonctiondusalairereçupourlaproductiondesoninterprétation, exécution ouprésentation, maisaucontrairefonctionduproduitdelaventeoude l'exploitation duditenregistrement". (ArticleL -762-20ftheCodeduTravail).

Thismeansthatpartoftheremunerationreceived by performing artists for the sale or other exploitation of the recording of their performance after their physical presen ceis no longer required is not considered part of their initial salary for the performance, but as a remuneration from the sale or exploitation of the recording. Whether this remuneration is considered as complementary to salary, that is, as a salary or as copy right remuneration, is to be determined in the following manner.

Firstofall, three conditions laid out in the law must be satisfied: the remustare cording of a performer's performance; the remuneration must be paid relative to the sale or exploitation of the recording ("al' occasion delayente [...]"), and the physical presence of the performer is not required for the exploitation of the recording.

Dependingonthefulfillmentofthesethreeconditions, theremuneration paidfor the performer mayor may not be considered as a salary. According to Article L. 762 -2 of the Labor Code theremuneration is not regarded as a salary if it is innoway determined as a function of the initial salary paid for the production of the performance and its ecording, but only relates to the monies received from the exploitation of the recording. Thus, the determination of the remuneration may not in anyway, even indirectly, relate to the initial salary and it must also be derived directly from the sale or exploitation of the recording. In all other cases the remuneration forms part of the performer's salary.

15 We shall see later, that in practice the remuneration is almost invariably considered to be a supplementary part of the performer's salary.

Thelaw also regulates the status of contracts concluded prior to entry into force of the law. According to Article L.212 -7 contracts concluded prior to January 1,1986, between a performer and a producer of audiovisual works or their assignees, shall be subject to the preceding provisions [of the law] in respect of those modes of exploitation which the parties have excluded. It is further provided that the corresponding remuneration shall not constitute a salary. This right of remuneration shall appear the death of the performer.

15 Ibid.

_

¹³ ArticleL.212 -5.

Droitdel'audiovisuelat519 .

Inpractice this means that if the old contract had excluded certain modes of exploitation, theremunerationforperformersshallbecalculatedaccordingtothenewlawforthesemodes of exploitation. After the death of the perf ormer the right of remuneration for the semo desofexploitationceasestoexist.

Thelawfurtherprovidesthat theprovisions of the agreements referred to in the preceding Articles may be made compulsory within each sector of activity for all the partieS concerned by order of the responsible Minister.¹⁶Inpracticethisisalsothecasewiththe exceptionofcollectivebargaining agreements for musicians. The collective bargaining agreementrelativetoperformers' rights in film production has been made mandatorybythe Minister of Culture. The collective bargaining agreement for television has also been extendedbytheMinisterofLabortocoveralsonon -represented parties. We shall come back to these agreements in more detail in the next section ofthisstudy.

Shouldtheparties not be able to reach agreement with regard to assigning performers' rightstotheproducerandwithregardtoremunerationforeachmodeofexploitationas required by the law, the law provides for a judicial process of es tablishingthelevelof remuneration. According to Article L. 212 -9ofthelaw:

"[f]ailingagreementconcludedinaccordancewithArticlesL212 -4toL212 -7,either priortoJanuary4,1986,oratthedateofexpiryoftheprecedingagreement,thetypes $and bases of remuneration for the performers shall be determined, for each sector of {\tt and base} and {\tt a$ activity, by a committee chaired by a magistrate of the judiciary designated by the First PresidentoftheCourdecassationandcomposed,inaddition,ofonememberofthe Conseild'EtatdesignatedbytheVicePresidentoftheConseild'Etat,onequalified persondesignated by the Ministerresponsible for culture and an equal number of representatives of the employees' organizations and representatives of the employers' organizations.

"TheCommitteeshalltakeitsdecisionsonamajorityofthememberspresent.Inthe eventofequallydividedvoting,theChairmanshallhaveacastingvote.TheCommittee shalldecidewithinthreemonthsoftheexpiryofthetimelimitlaid downinthefirst paragraphofthis Article.

"Itsdecisionshallhaveeffectforadurationofthreeyears, unless the parties concerned reachanagreementpriortothatdate."

If a performance of performers is accessory to an event that constitutes themainsubject of a sequence within a work or an audiovisual document, the performers may not prohibit the reproductionandpubliccommunicationoftheirperformance (ArticleL.212 -10).

17 VideoclipsareconsideredasaudiovisualworksinFrance.

ArticleL.212 -8

¹⁷ Bycomparison, for example in Germany, videoclips are considered as musical works.

Rights to equitable remuneration for audiovisual performer sunder Frenchauthors' rights law

1. Privatecopying

UnderFrenchauthor's rights law remuneration from private copying is instituted as a legallic enseby virtue of which remuneration is collected from makers and importers of blank audio and videore cording media. The remuneration is a compensation for authors, performers and producers for the loss of income caused by private copying in the musicand audio visual sectors.

Theremunerationforprivat ecopyingofvideogramsisbetween0,43 €and8,80 €per blankcommercialrecordingmedium.

Theremunerationamountsarefixedbyacommissioncomposedofhigh representativesofrightsholdersandusers. Theremuneration is collected fo two agencies:

-rankingjudges, rrightsholdersby

- SORECOP: Sociétédeperceptionetderépartition de la rémunération pour la copie privée sonore .
- COPIEFRANCE: Sociétédeperceptionetderépartition de la rémunération pour la copie privée audiovisuelle .

The seagencies represent the three different groups of right sholders: authors, performing artists and producers.

Intheaudiovisualsectorperformers are represented by ADAMI and SPEDIDAM.

According to Article L.311 -7 of the Frenchauthors' rights la wremuneration from private copying in the audiosector is to be divided in the following manner: 50% to authors, 25% toper formers and 25% tophonogram producers.

According to the law the remuneration from private copying in the audiovisual sector is to be divided in the following manner: 1/3 authors, 1/3 performers and 1/3 producers. The remuneration is in a lie nable, which means that rightholders may not assign it contract ually to another party.

 $Remuneration due to performers represented by ADAMIa \qquad nd SPEDIDAM is divided in the following manner:$

- Audiosector: 50% SPEDIDAM, 50% ADAMI.
- Audiovisualsector: 20% SPEDIDAM, 80% ADAMI.

2. Cableretransmission

Withregardtocabletransmissionofexistingtelevisionprogramsandsimultaneousand unabridgedre -transmissiononcable, there is a collective agreement between the television channels (TF1, France 2 and France 3), ANGOA (representing film producers' associations) and performers' trade unions (SFA). ADAMI has been appointed by the particular estore present performers. The agreement is a dministered by ADAMI. The level of remuneration is

determined as a percentage of the turn over of the television channel from cable distribution, and is distributed in dividually toper formers.

Performersare compensated for cable retransmission of their performance sunder collective bargaining agreements as a percentage of the revenues from exploitation. Remuneration is regarded as a supplement to their salary. Performers do not receive additional remuneration for cable retransmission under author's rights law.

B. INDIVIDUALSTANDARD AGREEMENTS

Television

There exists no standard agreements for performers in film production in France. With regard to television there exists a model standard agreement , "Contratd'engagement d'artiste-interprète," which is drafted in conformit y with the collective bargaining agreement for television and forms an addendum to the collective bargaining agreement.

Advertising

Foradvertisingthereexistsastandardagree ment, "contratartiste -interprètepour l'utilisationd'enregistrementspublicitairesaudiovisuels". This contract has been drafted with the participation of representatives of the Syndicat français desartistes -interprètes, ADAMI, l'Union desannonceurs and L'Association desagences de conseils encommunication. The purpose of the contract is to serve a samo de la greement for contracting parties in the advertising sector.

The contract is concluded between the performing artist and the production company of the advertisement. In the contract the performer authorizes the advertiser and/oragency to exploit the audiovisual work according to the terms of the contract. The exploitation license of the audiovisual recording covers exploitation in the followin gmedia:

- (1) televisionbothinFranceandabroad;
- (2) cinematheatredistribution;
- (3) cabledistribution;
- (4) satellitedistribution;
- (5) broadcastinginalocaltelevisionnetwork;
- (6) broadcastinginaclosedtelevisionnetwork;
- (7) video, CD -ROM; CD -I, Internet exploitation; and
- (8) useofimagesorrecordedsoundsconstitutingapartofanaudiovisualwork.

According to the model contract remuneration for performers should be paid according to the terms of a protocol signed by the contracting parties on 28 April 1986. In practice this has

oftennotbeenthecase. There commended types of payments in the model agreement are all based on the types and frequency of use (annual lump - sumpayments, payments per transmissionetc.). No buy-out payments are mentioned in the model contract.

C. COLLECTIVEADMINISTR ATIONOFRIGHTS

Collectiveadministrationofperformers' rights in the audiovisual sector under Frenchlaw is divided between collective bargaining agreements negotiated by performers' and producers' trade unions on the one hand, and collective administration of certain rights and remunerations by performers' collecting societies, on the other hand. In the following we shall describe the collective bargaining conventions and a greement sinforce at the moment, after which we shall explain how performers' rights are administered by collecting societies.

1. CollectiveBargainingAgreements

Asstatedearlierperformersarealmostalwaysworkingasemployeesinaudiovisual productionsandtheirrightsandobligationsarethusdeterminedinthefirstplacebycollective bargainingagreementsandindividualemploymentcontracts. Collectivebargaininginthe audiovisualsectorinFrancehasalonghistory. Currentlythereexistth reecollective bargainingagreementsintheaudiovisualsectorforactors, of which the oldest, *Convention collective detravail dela production ciné matographique* (actors), dates from September 1967. In addition there exist three specific collective agreements formusicians. In the following we shall describe the stipulations of the collective bargaining agreements relevant to performers rights under copyright. Collective bargaining agreements for interpreting artists, in particular actors and dancers, are described first and thereafter the collective bargaining agreements for musicians.

Actors, dancers and other interpreting artists

1. Convention collective de travail de la production cinématographique (acteurs)

The collective convention for actor sinfilm production dates from September 1967 and has been extended annually thereafter. It has been concluded between dela production cinématographique français en the one hand, and dela production cinématographique français en transcription cinématographique français en transcript

The Convention regulates the rights of producers and actors for productions of which the producer has its head quarters in France. It applies to all productions taking place in France and its territories, and to French production staking place abroad provided this is not contrary to the law or professional practices of the place where the film is being shot. It also applies to all foreign films or parts of films being shot in France by a foreign producer, regardless of the language of the film.

According to the Conventional lengagements of actors must be made through written agreements before work has begun (Art. 9). All individual contracts must refer to the Convention or in corporate it in its totality or in a condense d form. No clause in the individual employment contract may be in contradiction to the Convention (Art. 10).

The Convention stipulates in detail the minimum remuneration to be paid for daily work (cachet minimal) in employment relations of different lengths, or for other kinds of engagements. It also contains specifical uses with regard to remuneration for post synchronization work.

The Convention does not contain any clauses with regard to assignment of rights to the producer. Howe ver, it does provide that if the individual employment contract does not stipulate otherwise, the producer has the right to easign (retroceder) part or all of its rights. In this case the assignee of rights is liable to the performer for fulfilling the terms of the agreement. The producer or other assign or of rights remains in any case jointly liable to the actors for fulfill ment of the contract (Art. 17).

2. Accordspécifique concernant les artistes interprètes en gagés pour la réalisation d'une oeuvre cinématographique

Thespecialagreementrelativetoperformingartistsemployedinfilmproductionswas concludedinJune1990,inimplementationoftheFrenchauthors'rightslawof1985, particularlysections19(Art.L212 -4)and20(Art.L212 -5). Itwasconcludedbetween *La Chambresyndicaledesproducteursetexportateursdefilmsfrançais* ,*L'Associationfrançaise desproducteursdefilms* ,*L'Uniondesproducteursdefilms* ,ontheonehand,andthe *Syndicat françaisdesartistesinterprètes* (SFA -C.G.T.)and *Syndicatdesartistesduspectacle* (SY.D.A.S.-C.F.D.T.),ontheotherhand.

Theagreementfixestheminimumremunerationtobepaidbytheproducertothe performer. According to the 1990 agreement the fee (cachet) must be a minimum of 1,637F or

900FRFforcinematheatredistributioninpubliccinemas 560FRFforbroadcasting 177FRFforvideodistributionforprivateuse.

This salary is subject to revision according to the applicable professional agreements.

Asasupplementtothis salary the producer must pay to a collecting society an amount of two percent of the net returns from exploitation after the film production has broken ven monies received by the collecting society are distributed to performing artists on a prorata basis with regard to their initial salaries. The feessurp as sing seventimes the current minimum fees, or adaily fee over 11,459 FRF are not, however, taken into account.

The film production costs to be taken into account indetermining the break - even point of the production are set by a separate ministerial decision. The costs of the film and producer's net receipts from exploitation are defined in an annex to the agreement.

The producer must deliver to the collectings ociety the following informat ion afters ix months have passed from the first exploitation act of the film:

- thecostsofthefilm;
- listoftheinterpretingartistsengagedintheproductionofthefilm;

- thenumberandtheamountoffees(cachets)paidtoeachperformingart ist,taking intoaccounttheeventualmaximumamountoffeesasdefinedinArticle1oftheagreement;
- theamountofnetrevenuescollectedbytheproducerinFranceforeach exploitationmode,andtheamountofnetrevenuescollectedfromforeignexpl oitation.

Theamountofnetincomeandeventualpayments will thereafter be paid annually to the collecting society.

The contracting parties agree to establish an arbitration commission as required by Article L. 212 - 9 of the authors' rights law. The commission all disagreements of with regard to interpretation and application of the agreement.

This commissions hall convene within a period of 30 days after the other union has submitted a case to arbitration. In case the commission has not convened by this time, each party may bring the case to the competent jurisdiction.

This agreement has been made mandatory by decision of the Ministry of Culture.

3. Conventioncollectivenationale1992 -12-30desartist es-interprètesengagéspourdes émissionsdetélévision. ¹⁸

Therightsofperformersemployedintelevisionbroadcasts(emissionsdetelevision)are regulatedbyacollectivebargainingagreementconcludedbetweentheunionsrepresenting performingartist s¹⁹ontheonehand,andFrenchtelevisionchannels, ²⁰ L'Institutnationaldela communicationaudiovisuelle(INA),L'Unionsyndicaledesproducteursdeprogrammes audiovisueland LaSociétéPathé -télévisionontheotherhand(hereinaftertheConvention).

The Convention regulates the relationship between the employing organizations having signed the contract and performing artists employed for production of television broadcasts (émission stélévision). The categories of programs which are considered as television programs in terms of the Convention are the following:

- (1) dramaticprograms;
- (2) programsconsistingofreadingaloud;
- (3) programsotherthandramatic, lyricorchoreographic;
- (4) lyricprograms;

²⁰ TF1,France2,France3,CANAL+andLaSEPT.

_

Extendedbythedecisionof24thJanuary1994,publishedintheJournalOfficielof February 4, 1994.

LeSyndicatfrançaisdesartistes -interprètes, LeSyndicatdesartistes dusp ectacle, LeSyndicat nationallibre desacteurs and LeSyndicatIndépendant des Artistes -Interprètes.

(5) choreographic programs ²¹

The Convention is applicable in France and abroad in respect of programs financed and produced entirely by one or more of the employers or at their request (Art. 1.2.1).

The Convention stipulates in detail the conditions of employment, which must be included in the individual employment contract.

The general terms of employment and remuneration are set out in Article 5 of the Convention.

According to the Convention the remuneration covers first transmission in France made by an employer having signed the Convention, by every mode of transmission covered by the Convention (broadcasting, cable retransmission...), or once on the Frenchter ritory, or several times in certain regional or local areas as defined by the Convention. In exceptional circumstances and after thaving consulted the Unions the Convention may also cover first simultaneous transmission by all means of transmission (broadcasting, cable, collective antenna setc.).

_

22

[&]quot;1) Émissionsdramatiques(laréalisationtélévisuelledetoutoupartied'uneoeuvre dramatiqueoud' extraitsd'oeuvresdramatiques). Les dispositions duprésent titrenes ont pas applicables à l'artiste - interprètequi, dans une émission dramatique, n'interprètequ'unt exte chanté, qu'unnuméro de variétés ou de danse.

[&]quot;2) Prestations de le cture (lors que le plan de travail d'une émission dra matique ou d'un épiso de d'une série prévoit une prestation de le cture d'une duré einférieure ou égale à quatre heures, celle-cies trémunérées ur la base de la moitié du prix de journée prévupar le contrat de l'artiste-interprète).

[&]quot;3) Émissionsdevariétés (une émission faisant appelà des prestations d'artistes - interprètes dans des conditions autres que celles prévues pour les émissions dramatiques, ly riques ou choré graphiques). [applies to allother performing arti sts with the exception of chore ographers to which aspecial regime is applied under 5.14.4.]

[&]quot;4) Émissionslyriques(réalisationtélévisuelledetoutoupartied'uneoeuvrelyriqueoud'une émissioncomportantseulementdesextraitsd'oeuvreslyriques).

[&]quot;5) Émissionschorégraphiques(laréalisationtélévisuelletotaleoupartielled'uneœuvre chorégraphiqueconstituéeparunesuitedepasetd'enchaînementscorporelsréglésàl'avanceet exécutéspardesartistes -interprètesspécialisés)".

[&]quot;— unepremiè rediffusiondestinéeauterritoirefrançaiseffectuéeparl'unedesentreprises de communication audiovisuelles ignataires ou adhérentes surl'ensemble des moyens de télédiffusion dont ellebénéficie (radio diffusion, distribution parcâbles imultanée et intégrale de cetteradio diffusion, etc.), soite nune fois surl'ensemble duterritoire national, soiten plusieurs fois parzone régionale ou locale, (à rais on d'une seule diffusion parzone régionale ou locale), sous réserve d'accords spécifiques concernant la diffusion as surée par des entreprises de communication audiovisuelle dont les programmes ne sont reçus que par une partie du public, not amment du fait de l'éten due de la zone géographi que de réception, ou de systèmes sélectifs d'accès aux programmes;

[&]quot;— àtitreexceptionnel, aprèsavis des syndicats signataires et adhérents, une première diffusion simultanée par l'ensemble des moyens det élédiffusion (émetteurs, câbles, antennes collectives, etc.), mis à la disposition de sentre prises de communicatio naudiovisuelle visées ci-des sus et des tinées au mêmeter ritoire français. (Article 5.2)

[&]quot;5.2.2.Sil'émissionn'estpasdestinéeàunepremièrediffusionparlesmoyensdetélédiffusion dontbénéficiel'unedesentreprisesdecommunicationaudiovisuelle signatairesouadhérentes, le contratdel'artiste -interprètepréciseralesutilisationsprévuesentélévision".

If the program is not meant for first transmission by any means of transmission for the contracting employers are entitled, the contract of the performing artists hall define the means of permitted television exploitation.

Non-commercialuses ²⁴ oftelevisionprogramsarecovered by the contractually agreed remuneration under the following circumstances:

- (a) useofprogramsinconnectionwithprofessionalmarkets, exhibits and events, in which either of the contracting organizations is represented or television as such is featured (*êtremiseenvaleur*);
- (b) useoftelevisionpr ogramsfortechnicalexperimentationpurposeswithout communicatingthemtothepublicbynormalmeans;
- (c) exceptionaluseofprogramsbypublicinterestorganizationsotherthan *maisonsde laculture*, museumsandeducationalestablishments –inconnectio nwithspecificeventsforthe purposesofraisingtheknowledgeinspecificculturalorsocialsectorsundercertainstrictly defined circumstances; ²⁵
- (d) Useofprogramsinexceptional circumstances by Frenchgovernmental representatives inconnection with events promoting French culture and organized on their own initiative. This use may not consist of transmission by television channels or exhibition in commercial cinemas.

According to the Convention the restrictions relative to use smentioned above communicated to the users, who must agree not to use the recordings for other than the permitted uses and not to reproduce or reasign them to a third party without payment.

TheConventionincludesspecialprovisionswithregardtoretr ansmissionofrecordings of events, which means broad casting an event either directly or by delayed television broad cast. Performers are remunerated for these retransmissions under the conditions specified in the Convention. ²⁶

_

²³ Article5.2.2.

AccordingtoArticle5.3oftheConventionnon -commercialusesaredefinedas" autitrede laquellel'organismecédantne perçoitqueleremboursementdesfraissupposésparluipour cetteopérationàl'exclusiondescommissionsd'intermédiaire ".

[&]quot;Utilisationdesémissionsàtitreexceptionnelpardesorganismesd'intérêtgénéralautresque maisonsdelaculture,muséeset établissementsd'enseignement,àl'occasiondemanifestations ponctuellesayantpourobjetledéveloppementdesconnaissancesoul'informationdansun secteurculturelousocialdéterminé,àconditionquelesujetdel'émissionsoitenrelationavec l'objetdelamanifestationetquelacouverturedesfraisafférentsàl'organisationdecette manifestationsoitassuréeselondesmodalitésexclusivesdetouteparticipationdupublicsous quelqueformequecesoit:systèmedebilletterie,abonnement,etc ."

Article6.1.1.: "Définitions — Dispositionsgénérales:"
"Onentendparretransmissionl'enregistrement, auxfins de diffusion en directouen différépar le moyen de la télévision, d'un spectacle organisé par un organisate ur despectacle pendant la durée de son exploitation ou dans les quinze jours qui suivent la fin de celle -ci, que ces pectacle aitsubioun on des modifications en fonction de sexigences de la télévision, qu'il ait lieu ou non en présence d'un public.

[Footnotecontinuedfrompreviouspage]

"Laretransmissiondite "retransmissionévénement" necomportepourlesartistes -interprètes aucuntravails pécifique pour latélévision, aucune modification du textenide la mise en scène pour les besoins de la télévision. El les 'effectue par l'en registrement en continuité de deux représentations au maximum. Une répétition pour la technique peut avoir lieu aucours des représentations précédentes. Seuls les spectacles comportant au maximum septre présentations sont susceptibles de faire l'objet de retransmissions événement.

"Pourles spectaclesdramatiques,lyriquesetchorégraphiques,lenombrederetransmissions événementestlimitéparanàdouzepourchaqueentreprisedecommunicationaudiovisuelle.

- "Encasderetransmissionentélévisiond'unspectacleorganiséparuntiers, celui -cidemeure l'employeurdesartistes -interprètesappartenantaux catégories régies par la présente convention collective et traite avec eux des conditions de cetteretransmission.
- "Toutefois,lesconventionsconcluesavecl'organisateur du spectacle compo rteront pour luiles obligations suivantes:
 - encasderetransmissionévénement:versementparjournéed'enregistrementd'au moinsdeuxfoislesalaireminimumdejournée "enregistrement "pourlacatégorie d'artiste-interprèteconcernée.
 - dansle sautrescasderetransmission: versementd'unerémunération aumoins égaleauproduit dus alaireminimum de journée prévupar la présente convention collective pour la catégorie d'artistes - interprètes concernée, par le nombre de journées de travail supplémentaires convenues pour la retransmission, sans que la rémunération puis se être calculée pour moins de trois jours (cinq jour spour les dramatiques).
- "Pourgarantirquelessalairesdusauxartistes -interprètesayantparticipéàlaretransmission leuroientpayésentoutétatdecause, la convention passée avec l'organisate ur despectacle prévoira de uxéchéances de règlement: la première, correspondant aux salaires dus aux artistes-interprètes dufait de l'enregistrement, immédiatement après l'enregist rement, le sol de n'étant versé qu'après que l'organisate ur dus pectacle ait justifié dupaiement des salaires dus aux artistes -interprètes.
- "Lasociétésignatairedelaconventioncollectiveetpartieprenanteàlaconvention d'enregistrementseportegar antedel'applicationdecesdispositions.
- "Les dispositions qui précèdent ne sont pas applicables aux retransmissions despectacles de variétés ainsi qu'aux retransmissions despectacles dramatiques, ly rique souch or égraphiques effectués avec le concours de stroupes de thé ât resnation aux ou de sensembles étrangers officiels entournée en France ou de stroupes de sthé ât res de la réunion de sthé ât res ly rique smunicipaux de France. En cas de nouvelle utilisation de l'en registrement, le sartistes interprètes per cevront les suppléments de rémunération prévus par l'accordanne xé à la présente convention collective. Ces suppléments seront déterminés sur la base de srémunération sperçues par les artistes interprètes pour la retransmission en fonction de sélément scommuniqués par l'organisate ur des pectacle et annexés à la convention de retransmission, le sent reprises de communication audiovisuelle veillant à la bonne application de ces dispositions not ammentense fais antre mettre copie de scontrats signés par le sartistes interprètes avant le premier jour de travail".
- "6.1.2. -Enregistrementhorsdulieuhabitueldesreprésentations.

Lorsqu'unenregistrementestassuréhorsdulieuhabitueldesesreprésentationsethorsdesa périoded'exploitation —ycompris lesquinzejourssuivantlafindecelle -ci—les artistes-interprètesserontengagésetpayésdirectementparlesemployeursselonlesdispositions delaprésenteconventioncollective.

"6.1.3. -Retransmissionspartielles.

"Sousréservedesdispositio nsdel'article6.2,les retransmissions partielles sont régies par les mêmes dispositions que les retransmissions totales. Toute fois, les retransmissions partielles ne sont pas prises en compte dans le nombre maximum de douze "retransmissions événement" à l'article6.1.1".

visé

The Conventional so includes specific provisions with regard to remuneration to be paid to performers for reporting about their performances either indirect or laterat the place of the event. Terms for remunerating performers for artistic performances not covered by the previous section and for which the performer has displaced her self to another place than that of the original performance, are set out in Article 6.3.3 of the Convention.

According to the Convention satellite transmission of programs is subject to special agreements, for ming addendums to the present Convention, between the concerned audiovisual communication organizations and the contracting unions.

For *allothersecondaryuses* performingartistsareentitledtosupplementary remunerationasagreedinanannext otheConvention(Art.5.4.).Anagreement(Accord "Salaires")wasconcludedon20 thJuly2002betweentheemployers'andemployees' (performers')organizationsfixingremunerationforsecondaryuses,nationalandregionalre broadcastingoftelevisionpr ogramsandforcableandsatellitetransmissionoftelevision programs.

Theremunerationisacomplementarysalaryforperformersandiscalculatedasa percentageofthenetincomeoftheproduce. For all the performers this percentage is ten percent of the producer's net income in excess of 10,000 Euros. Producer's net income is defined as gross revenues reduced by a lump - sum of 20% of the total covering the costs of assignment of right services.

Eventhoughpay -per-viewandvideo on-demandarenotspecificallymentionedinthe Conventiontheymayberegardedasincludedunderothersecondaryuses, ascommercial assignmentofrightstotheproducer, and performers are thus remunerated fort hese uses as a percentage of the producer's profitasindicated above.

According to this agreement performers' initials alary always covers the first analogue broadcast in gonnational territory and the simultaneous reasonable transmission of this broadcast by one of the means of transmission covered by the agreement.

Inadditiontothisagreement, which replaces in part the addendum 1 of the Convention, the Convention includes seven other addenda fixing remuneration for different kinds of uses of performances by one or more of the employing audiovisual organizations. All this remuneration is supplementary to salaries. In addition there is a particular agreement with Channel M6 and another one for La Cinquième.

 $The provisions with regard to remunerating auth \qquad or shave been extended by the \textit{Minister} \\ of \textit{Labor to cover parties in the audiov is ualsector not represented by the contracting parties}.$

2

²⁷ Article6.2.2.

²⁸ MemorandumofSFA.

Musicians

1. Conventioncollectivenationaledesartistesmusiciensdelaproduction cinématographique(Conventionco llectivenationale1964 -07-02)

Thiscollectiveconventionfrom1964regulatestherightsofmusiciansinrespectof recordingtheirauralperformancesorinstrumentalperformancesofmusicalworksin connectionwithcinematographicworksintendedforwor ld-widedistribution. It is concluded between the Chambresyndicale desproducteurs et exportateurs defilms français and the Chambresyndicale deséditeurs de musique légère on the one hand, and the Syndicat national desartistes musiciens de France de l'outre-mer (S.N.A.M.) and Syndicat desartistes musiciens professionnels français de Pariset d'Ile de France, on the other hand.

Itregulates the general conditions of work and remuneration to be paid therefor. Remuneration is based on the type, length and time of day of the recording session. The remunerations chedules depend on the type of instruments played.

Theagreementdoesnotapplytoanyothertypeofuseoftherecordedperformancesthan thatdefinedintheagreement, which means that every other use of the recorded performance is subject to a separate agreement (Article 1).

ThisConventionhasnotbeenextendedinitssphereofapplicationtonon -partiesanditis uncleartowhatextentitisstillbeingused.

2. Protocoled'accordconc ernantl'utilisationsecondairedesenregistrementsdela musiquedefilms(Protocoled'accord1960 -07-29)

There is a specific memorandum of understanding relating to the secondary use of film music. This memorandum of understanding is concluded between the same parties as the collective convention formusicians' rights in film production.

This agreement regulates the use of film music for the making of commercial phonograms. If the use of film music for a commercial phonogram exceeds 20 minutes, a separate remuneration is due to the musicians having participated in that recording. The remuneration is paid by the phonogram producer, and is defined as a lump upon the number of musicians participating in the recording.

This agreement is administered by the collectings ociety SPEDIDAM on behalf of musicians.

This agreement has also not been extended to non -parties, and it is not clear to what extentitis still being applied in practice.

3. "Protocoled'accorddu16mai1977modifiép arl'avenantdu5mars1987relatifaux conditionsd'emploietderémunérationdesartistesmusiciensemployésdansdesémissionsde télévision".

Therightsofmusiciansemployedtoperformintelevisionprogramsaredealtwithina collectivebargainin gagreementconcludedbetween,ontheonehand,the *Syndicatnationaldes*

artistesmusiciens (SNAM) and Syndicatdesartistesmusiciensde Pariset delarégion parisienne (SAMUP), and on the other hand, the former public sector broadcasting societies, "Télévision francaise 1" (currently TF1)", "Antenne 2" (currently FRANCE 2)", "France régions 3" (currently FRANCE 3)" and l'Institut national de l'audiovisue 1" (INA). INA is not a broadcast er but is incharge of, among other things, management of the public sec to TV broadcast er programs archives.

Theagreementsets the terms of the basic remuneration (cachetinitial), and all complementary remuneration is subsequently calculated in relation to this basic remuneration. The structure of remunerating musicians in the agreement is based upon the same principles as the corresponding collective bargaining agreement with actors (see above). Remuneration is paid separately for services relating to recording of sound and television services.

Forrecordingofsound thebasicrecordingsessionshallnotexceed20minutes,after whichacomplementoffivepercentofthebasicremunerationforeachminutesurpassing 20 minutesmustbepaidtomusicians.

With regardtotelevisionservicesthebasicremunerationcover sthefirst broadcaston Frenchterritoryandover -seaterritoriesandsimultaneous cabletransmissionforthesame territory. The 50 years following the first broadcast, musicians are entitled to a complementary remuneration for further uses of their rfixed performances according to the terms of the Agreement. For a complete retransmission of the programmusicians are entitled to 25% of their initial payment. The rollicensing the program among Eurovision countries, the musicians are entitled to a supplied to a

For commercial uses of musicians' fixed performances, musicians are entitled to 37,5% of the netin come of the assignment.

The remuneration is paid proratain relation to the initial remuneration for each musician.

According to the agreement musicians are entitled to a supplementary remuneration to be negotiated between musicians' unions and the commercial exploiters of their programs, for the following modes of exploitation:

- commercialcinematheatreexhibitionorvideotransmissioninacinema;
- $\\ \\ exploitation in the form of derived rights, such as producing a commercial \\ phonogram; and$
 - commercialvideoexploi tationforentertainmentprograms(emissionsdevariétés).

Article4oftheAgreement.

SeemoreindetailArticle17oftheAgreement.

ForfurtherdetailsseeArticle18.

ForfurtherdetailsseeArticles20(exchangeofprograms)and21(o thercommercialuses).

Non-commercial uses of programs are covered by the initial remuneration

Non-commercialuses are defined in the same manner as in the corresponding collective bargaining agreement for actors (see above).

Musicians are paida certain percentage for the pre -sales of programs to commercial television channels (Canal Plus, Cultural programs (La Sept), cable networks, local stations and to TV5 . The percentage is based upon the number of spectators or satellite connections, and the number of emissions determined separately for each television channel.

Unlikethecorrespondingcollectivebargainingagreementforactors,thecollective bargainingagreementformusiciansisnotextended,whichmeans that,accordingtoFrench laborlaw,itisbindingwithrespecttothepartiesoftheagreementonly . Theagreementisstill inforcetoday . ItisappliedbyFRANCE2andprobablybyFRANCE3 . Accordingto *Laurent Tardif*,inchargeoflegalaffairsat SNAM,TF1doesnotseemtoapplyitassuch,butpays higherlevelsofremunerationtomusiciansthanthosestipulatedintheagreement.

Bywayofconclusionitisimportanttohighlightthat musiciansarepaidfortheuseof theirperformancesintele visionprogramsseparatelyforeachuse, alladditionalpayments beingsupplementarytotheirsalariesandthusincludingthecorrespondingsocialsecurity benefits. Evenifthisagreementisnotextendedtonon -parties, itseemstobeinusebythe majorityoftelevisionchannelsandthusitactsasanexampleforremunerationpracticesfor televisionchannelsnotboundbytheagreement.

Summaryofthecollectivebargainingagreements

Tosumuptheremunerationpractices of performer sunder the collective bargaining agreements in the audiovisual sector, the following features may be distinguished:

FirstofallitshouldbeemphasizedthatunderFrenchlaw,bothunderlaborandauthor's rightslegislation,anelaboratestructureofprotectionofperfo rmers'rightsinaudiovisual productionshasbeenestablished .Thelawensuresthat eachperformingartistconcludesa writtencontractwiththeproducer,inwhichremunerationforeachmodeofexploitationis stipulated. This can also be done by referen cetoapplicable collective bargaining agreements. Bothfree -lancersandpermanentpersonnelare equally covered by collective bargaining agreements, which are made obligatory and have extended effect in both film production and television, meaning that hey also apply to performers who are not parties to the agreements. Only the musicians' collective agreements do not have such extended effect.

SeemoreindetailArticles24 -1and24 -2.

AresponsebyLaurentTardiftothequestionsregardingmusicians' collective bargaining situation in the audiovisual sector in France, 13.3.2003.

1. Filmproduction

Therightsofperformersinfilmproductions are covered by the special agreement concluded between the associations representing performers and producers. According to this agreement performers are remunerated with an initial salary for which the minimum is fixed in the agreement. As a complement to this salary the producer pays two percent into the producer's net income from exploitation of the film the semonies are paid to a collecting society, ADAMI, which represents performers and producers for the purposes of administering the agreement. This remuneration is regarded as a supplement to salary and thus gives rise to social security benefits for performers.

The agreement has extended application and covers thus the whole sector, regardless of whether the individual performers or producers are represented by the contracting parties.

Formusiciansthereexistsacollectiveconventionrelativetotheirparticipationinfilm production, and aspecial memorandum of understanding with respect to use of film music for commercial phonograms. These agreements do not have extended application. Both of these agreements are from the 1960's and it is unclear to what extent they are still applied to day.

2. Televisionproduction

The collective bargaining convention for television (Convention collective nationale 1992-12-30 desartistes -interprète sengagés pour des émissions det élévision) fixes the minimum (daily) remuneration to be paid to performers for participating in a television production. Depending on the production and the television channel, the tariffs and manner of calculating them differ, but in principle it can be said that the initial salary coversa certain use (normally first diffusion on Frenchterritory) after which performers are entitled to a percentage of the producer's income from any other further use of a television program. This remuneration is regarded as complementary to the salary and gives thus rise to so cial security benefits for performers.

This Convention has also been extended to cover rights of those rightholders not being represented by the contracting a rties.

Theremunerationstructuresetupinthecorresponding collective bargaining agreement formusicians (*Protocoled'accorddu16mai1977modifiéparl'avenantdu5mars1987 relatifauxconditionsd'emploietderémunérationdesartistes musiciens employés dans des émissions detélévision*) is forthemost part similar tothat of actors. The major difference is that this agreement does not have extended affect but is only binding with regard to the contracting parties.

3. Collectiveagreementsin theadvertisingsector

 $In the advertising sector there is no collective bargaining agreement in force \\ model agreement for performers working in audiovisual productions in the advertising sector, see Section B, above.$

4. Agreementsconcluded betweenproducersandthirdparties

Performingartistsarenotusuallyawareofthecontractsconcludedbetweenproducers andthirdparties. Itistheproduceroftheaudiovisualworkwhoisresponsibleforfulfillingthe contracttowardsperformers. Theinitialproducerremainsliableevenincaseshehas transferredherrightstotallyorinparttoathirdparty. Becausethisprinciplehasnotalways workedinasatisfactorymanner,performerswouldwishthattheirrightsbetransferredtoa collectingsocietyforadministrationonbehalfoftheproducer.

2. <u>CollectiveAdministrationofRightsbyCollectingSocieties</u>

Sociétécivilepourl'administration des droits des artistes et musiciens interprètes (ADAMI)

Thecentralcollectingsocietyadmi nisteringperformers'rightsintheaudiovisualfieldis ADAMI. IngeneraltermsitcanbesaidthatADAMIrepresentsactorswhoareentitledtoa creditinaudiovisualproductions .Thisincludesbothactorsandmusicianshavingcentralroles inaudiovis ualproductions .Theothercollectingsocietyrepresentingperformersinthe audiovisualfield, *Sociétédeperceptionetdedistributiondesdroitsdesartistes -interprètesde lamusiqueetdeladanse* (SPEDIDAM),representsbackstageperformersandother performers notentitledtocreditsintheproductions . Inthisconnectionweshouldalsorememberthatthe Frenchauthor'srightslawalsomakesadistinctionbetweenkeyactorsandsupportingactors . Thisdistinctionis,however,notthesameastheon ebetweenthetwocollectingsocieties.

RightsadministeredbyADAMI

 $Intotal, ADAMI administers over 200 \qquad 000 individual accounts of rightholders \qquad . \ Its main administration are as are:$

Contractual administration of rights

(1) Remuneration from thos esecondary rights which are specifically assigned to ADAMI to administer.

ADAM I has been given mandates from private producers for a dministering rights in television programs.

In the field of cinema ADAMI collects and distributes remuneration for all uses of films in application of the collective bargaining agreement relative to cinema to graphic production (l'accord conventionnel cinema). This Convention has been extended to cover all right holders in film production, including those not represented by the contracting parties.

Inthisconnectionitisimportanttonotethatunderthecollectivebargainingagreement residualsarepaidassalaries, which means that the yinclude all social security benefits . Thus residual spaidout as part of salary are more advantageous to performers than copyright

E-mailof *LaurentTardif*, inchargeoflegalaffairsatSNAM.

LetterfromMs.CatherineAlmeras. DirectorofSFA.

 $royalties. \ This also means that the international framework of copyright protection does not apply to any of these residuals; they are only subject to Frenchlabor law and so cial security statutes.$

- (2) Reciprocalagreements concluded with foreigns is tersocieties.
- $(3) \quad Obligatory collective administration of right sunder Frenchauthor's right slaw$

ADAMIadministersthepartoftheremunerationfromprivatecopyingpayabletothose performersthatitrepr esentsintheaudiovisualsector.

Paymentsforforeignrightholders

Withregardtorightsandremunerationsadministeredby ADAM Iundercollectivelabor agreements, all payments of remuneration is subject to the terms of the collective agreement. In other words, the scope of application of the agreement is determined in the agreement and any person, regardless of hernationality, working under a French collective agreement receives payments pursuant to such agreement.

 $With regard to remuneration for private copying, audiovisual performers receive \\ remuneration for their performances fixed on to an audiovisual fix at ion in the European Union.$

RightsnotadministeredbyADAMI

ADAMIdoesnotadministerthosesecondaryuserightswhichhavebeencontra ctually assignedtotheproducer . Fortheserightstheproducerpaystheremunerationdirectlytothe performerasagreedinanindividualcontractandapplicablecollectivebargainingagreement Suchrightsrelatetothecommercializationoftheaudiovi sualwork,publishingofvideoand DVDrecordings,rebroadcastingandothersimilarrights.

Administrationfee

ADAMIdeducts20% as an administration fee for the monies it distributes.

Socialfunds

With the exception of monies going for artistica ndsocial purposes as provided in the Frenchauthors' rights law (25%), ADAMI does not make any deductions of remunerations for social funds.

Sociétédeperceptionetdedistribution des droits des artistes - interprètes de la musique et de la danse (SPEDI DAM)

SPEDIDAMistheotherFrenchcollectingsocietyrepresentingperformingartists As indicatedaboveSPEDIDAMrepresentsartistswhicharenotentitledforacreditlistinginthe creditsofanaudiovisualproduction.

SPEDIDAMcollects and distributes tes remunerations on behalf of its affiliates. For audiovisual performers this is for the most part for private copying and for use of film music for commercial phonograms. The second second second second and second secon

D. CONCLUDINGREMARKSWITHREGARDTOFRENCHREGULATIONAND CONTRACTUALPRACTICE SRELATIVETOAUDIOVISUALPERFORMERS 'RIGHTS

TheFrenchregulationofrightsofperformersinaudiovisualproductionsisanelaborate andwell -designedstatutorysystembasedonbothauthor'srightsandlaborlawregulations Thespecificcircumstances inrespectofperformers'roleandworkinaudiovisualproductions hasbeentakenintoaccountinthisstatutoryprotection . UnderFrenchlawperformersare treatedasemployeesandtheremunerationfortheirparticipationinaudiovisualproductions, andtheremunerationcomingfromtheexploitationoftheirperformancesinconnectionwith audiovisualworks,isregardedassalariesandsupplementstotheinitialsalarygivingrisetoall socialsecuritybenefitsconnectedtotheemploymentrelation.

The Frenchauthor's rights law grants performers the full scope of exclusive rights. Performers have a right to authorize the fixation of their performance, there production of the fixed performance, the communication to the public of the fixed performance, and the separate use of the sound sori mages of their performances where both the sounds and images have been fixed.

Moreover, the law requires the performer's written authorization for the fixation of the performance. This is done by an individual emp loyment contract. Provided that a written contract exists between the producer and the performer, the law provides for the assignment of performer's rights to the producer. According to the law the signature of a contract between the performer and a produce and communicate to the public the performance of the performer.

Thelawfurtherprovidesthatthiscontract shallestablishseparateremunerationforeach mode of exploitation of thework. This remuneration may also be determined by a collective agreement. If neither the individual contract no racollective agreement mentions the remuneration for one or more modes of exploitation, the law makes reference to the common tariffsestablished in each sector under specifical greements between the employees' and employers' organizations representing the profession.

The provisions of the collective agreements relating to remunerating performers may be made compulsory with ineach sector of activity for all the parties concerned by order of the responsible Minister. In practice this has also been the case with the exception of musicians' collective bargaining agreements.

If the parties are notable to reach an agreement with regard to assigning performers' rights to the producer and with regard to remuneration for each mode of exploitation as required by the law, the law provides for a judicial process to determine the remuneration.

_

NofurtherinformationwasavailableregardingactivitiesofSPEDIDAM.

Asaresultofthecombinedstatuto ryregulationandcontractualpracticewithregardto therightsofperformersintheaudiovisualsector, thereexists a comprehensive collective bargaining practice dating from the 1960 sandup dated to a certain extent after the entering into force of the current authors' rights law in 1985. The fact that most agreements have extended application has harmonized the terms and minimum remuneration standards in the whole sector. The fact that the remuneration is determined at the collective level by labora greements has also ensured that performers receive their remuneration for the most part as a salary or as a complement to their salary, which means that this remuneration gives rise to the full range of so cial security benefits for the performers.

Theco mbinedeffectofthelawandcontractualpracticehasalsobeentoruleoutbuy -out agreementswhichcouldprovedetrimentaltotherightsofaudiovisualperformers.

Twocollectingsocietiesadministertherightsofperformers:ADAMIandSPEDIDAM. An interestingfeatureofthesecollectingsocietiesisthatinadditiontoadministering performers'remunerationforprivatecopying,theyalsoadministercertaincollective agreements.InparticularADAMIadministersthecollectivebargainingagreementfo rfilm productionandthecableretransmissionagreement.

IngeneralFrenchperformersarequitesatisfiedwiththecurrentstatutoryframework protectingtheirrights. Themajorweakness seems to be related to their lack of bargaining power which has meant that it has been difficult to increase the level of remuneration provided in the agreements. Another problem is the lack of control of performers' organizations with regard to foreign productions in which their members are engaged.

II. GERMANY

INTRODUCTION

Regulationofrightsrelatingtothecontractualpositionofauthorsandperformersin Germanyunderwentamajorchangein2002whenthenewlawstrengtheningtheircontractual positionenteredintoforce. The purpose of the new law as trengthen the bargaining position of authorsand performers when negotiating with producers and other exploiters of their worksand performances. Moreover, the law also attempts to ensure that authors and performers receive an equitable remuneration for a ll modes of exploitation of their works and performances. This law will most probably have a profound impacton collective bargaining practices with regard to the rightsofaudiovisual performers. This is why it is important to comprehend the motives and content of the new law when describing current contractual practices of audiovisual performers in Germany. However, changing of existing contractual practices in the audiovisual industries takes time and thus the real impact of the new law will only beknown after a few years have elapsed.

Inthefollowingweshallfirstseehowaudiovisualperformers'rightsareregulatedinthe GermanCopyrightlawandthereaftergiveadescriptionofthenewcopyrightcontractslawasit appliestoaudiovisualperform ers.Finallyweshallgiveanoverviewofthecollective bargainingsituationandadministrationofperformers'rightsastheyexisttoday.

 $^{{\}color{blue} Memorandum of SFA of February 2003} and {\color{blue} Memorandum of ADAMI of February 11,2003}.$

A. PERFORMERSRIGHTSUN DERCOPYRIGHTLAW

Therightsofperformersinrespectofaudiovisualworksareregulated inSection75of theGermancopyrightlaw.Accordingtothelaw therecordingofaperformanceonavideoor audiomediumshallrequiretheconsentoftheperformer . ³⁹ Moreover,performershavebeen grantedanexclusiverighttoauthorizethereproductinanddistributionofthevideooraudio medium. ⁴⁰Inotherwords, with regardtoaudiovisualworks, performershavean exclusive right:

- (1) toauthorizethefixationoftheirperformanceonanaudiovisualrecording;
- (2) toauthorizefurtherreproduct ionofcopiesofthefixedperformanceinthe audiovisualrecording; and
- (3) toauthorizethedistributiontothepublicoftheaudiovisualrecordingonwhich theirperformancehasbeenfixed.

The distribution rightin cludes an on -exhaustible right to authorize the rental and lending to the public 41 of their fixations in connection with audiovisual works. They also have an unwaivable right to remuneration for rental and lending .42

According to the law performers' consentis required for broadcasting of a performance. However, with regard to broadcasting of published videore cordings the German law provides for a legallicense of performers' rights. According to the law a performance, which has been lawfully fixed on a video (or audio) recording, may be broadcast without the consent of the performer. The performer is nevertheless entitled to equitable remuneration for the broadcasting.

With respect to communication to the public of video or audiore cordings (e.g., by airlines) or formaking the performance perceivable by means of abroad cast (e.g., at elevision set in a hotel room), the performers are entitled to remuneration . 45

TosumupthecurrentGermanlawwithregardtoperformers'rights,theyhavean exclusiverighttoauthorizetherecor dingoftheirperformancesonavideorecording,andan exclusiverighttoauthorizethereproductionanddistributionofthevideorecordingonwhich theirperformanceshavebeenfixed.

 $It should be noted that at the time of the writing of this Study, \\ Copyright and Information Society had not be enimplemented in Germany. \\$

Inordertosecureproducers'negotiatingpositionvis -à-visdistributors,thelawprovides forapresumptionofassignmentofperformers'reproduction,distributi onandbroadcasting

Section 75(1), Law of 22 March, 2002. Bundes gesetz blatt 2002, Teil INr. 21 of March 28, 2002.

⁴⁰ Section75(2).
41 Article75(2).

⁴² Article75(3)andArticle27.

⁴³ Article 76(1).

⁴⁴ Article 76(2).

⁴⁵ Article77.

rightstotheproducer. ⁴⁶Thepresumptionentersintoeffectonlyafteraperformerhas concludedacontractwiththeproducerforherparticipationinthefilmproduction,andwhen thereisdoubtwithrespecttotheinterpretationoft hatcontractasitappliestotheexploitation ofthecinematographicwork.

AccordingtoSection92(1)ofGermanCopyrightAct:

"[i]faperformerconcludesacontractwiththefilmproducerforhisparticipationinthe productionofacinematographicw ork,incasesofdoubtconcerningexploitationofthe cinematographicwork,suchcontractshallconstituteassignmentoftherightspursuantto Section75(1)and(2)andSection76(1)."

Thus, the presumption rule enters into effect after the performer has concluded a contract with the film producer for participation in the production. If there is no contract, the presumption rule does not apply. It is unclear whether this contract has to be inwriting or if an oral agreement or action to that effects uffices in terms of the law. For example the collective bargaining agreement for film authors, performers and other employees in the audiovisual sector does require a written contract as a prerequisite for an employment relation.

Evenifperformers'righ tshavebeforehandbeenassignedtoanotherparty, suchasa collectingsociety, aperformers tillhastherighttoassigntheserightstotheproducer. 47 Inthis waythelawhastakencare of the double assignment situation, which may otherwise occurin the audiovisual sector, if performers' rights are collectively managed.

In this connection it should also be emphasized that \$performers' unwaivable right to equitable remuneration as provided by the law does not fall within the scope of the presumption rule.

The law also provides for a special rule with regard to performers working in employment relations. According to Section 79 of the law:

"[i]faperformerhasgivenaperformanceinexecutionofhisdutiesunderacontractof employmentorofser vice, the extentand conditions under which his employer may use it or authorize other stouse it shall be determined, if not otherwise agreed, by reference to the nature of the contract of employmentors ervice."

Thisprovision of the law provides for a ninterpretation rule with regard to employed performers or those working under a service contract. This rule merely states that if there is no other agreement between the employed performer and employer, be it at individual or collective level, the employer is entitled to use the performance in accordance with the purpose of the employment or service contract. Then emprovisions of the German copy right law with regard to performers' right sto equitable remuneration for the exploitation of their performan (seemore indetail below) also apply to employ mentre lations.

ces

⁴⁶ Article92(1).

According to Section 92(2) of the eGerman Copyright Act[i] fthe performer has assigned in advance a right mentioned in paragraph (1) to a third party, he shall never the less retain the entitlement to assign this right in respect of exploitation of the cinematographic work to the film producer.

Theexerciseofperformers' *moralrights* is also *restricted* with respect to cinematographic productions. According to the law performers (and authors) may prohibit only gross distortion or other gross mutilations of their contributions, with respect to the production and exploitation of the cinematographic work. Moreover, each author and right holders hall take the others and the film produce rintodue account when exercising the right.

48

Remunerationforprivatecopying

Inadditiontoperformers'righttoauthorizecertainusesoftheirperformances, and the entitlementtoanequitableremuneration forcertainusesoftheirperformances, performers are also entitled toreceive ashar eoftheremuneration collected from sale of recording appliances and recording media as are muneration for private copying. ⁴⁹ This right may only be exercised on behalf of performers and other rightholders by a collecting society. The remuneration is collected by a collecting agency $ZP\ddot{U}($ $die Zentral stelle für private \ddot{U} berspielung srechte$), which is housed by the collecting society GEMA administering authors' performing rights (small rights) in the field of music. Performers are represented in that agency through their own collecting society GVL(Gesells chaft zur Verwertung von Leistungs schutzrecht en mbh)

8 Setion 93.

⁴⁹ Section54oftheGermanCopyrightLaw.

Thenewcopyrightcontractlaw

AnewlawamendingtheGermancopyrightlaw - the German Lawon Strengthening the ContractualPositionofAuthorsand Performers ,March22,2002)enteredintoforceon July 1, 2002. Truetoits name, the main goal of the law is to strengthen the contractual position of authors and performers in Germany. In the following we shall give an overview and analysisofthenew lawtotheextentitaffectstherightsofperformersinaudiovisualworks.

Thepurpose of the new copyright contract law was to strengthen the bargaining position of authors and performers in cultural and media industries. The legal status of freelan ce authorsandperformershadbeenparticularlyweaksincehardlyanycollectiveagreements existedforfree -lanceauthorsandperformersinculturalandmediaindustries.

Priortothesummer of 2002 the collective bargaining position of freelance auth orsand performers was somewhat uncertain. Section 12 a of the Collective Labor Agreements Law and the Collective Labor Agreement Labor Agreement Law and the(Tarifvertragsgesetz)hadexpresslyallowedcertaingroupsoffreelancers"whoare economicallydependentandsociallyinneedofprotectionsimilartoemployee s"toconclude, undercertainconditions, collective laboragreements with employers or ganization sin media and cultural industries. Such agreements were, however, scarce, and they were non -existentin theprivatesector. Thus, the new copyright contract slawfinallymakesclearthatnegotiations and agreements on common remuneration standards for whole branches and substantial properties of the common remuneration of the-branchesof the culture and media industries are legally permitted and even encouraged.

Inthefollowingweshallbrieflydescribehow thenewlawattemptstostrengthenthe bargainingpositionofauthorsandperformers and how this affects performers' contractual positionintheaudiovisualsector.

Firstofall, the Section of the law defining the scope of copyright was amended to spe cify thatinadditiontoprotectingtheauthorwithrespecttopersonal and intellectual relationship copyrightalsoservestosecurean withherworkandwithrespecttoutilizationofherwork, equitable remuneration for utilization of the author's work

ThebasicprincipleofinalienabilityofcopyrightunderGermanLawhasbeenpreserved inthenewlaw. According to Section 29, copyright assuch is not transferable but granting of exploitationrights(Section31), purely contractual authorizations and agreements on exploitation rights as well as contracts on moral rights of authors as regulated in Section 39 arepermitted.⁵³

Thecoresections of the new law are those guaranteeing authors and performers arightto equitable remuneration for all mode sofexploitation of their works and performance (Sections provideforestablishmentofcommonremunerationrules 32,32aand32b)andthosewhich through mediation in the event that the parties fail to a chieve common remuneration standardsthroughcollec tivebargaining agreements (Sections 36 and 36a). These sections also apply to therightsofperformersinaudiovisualworks.

Section11.

⁵⁰ See Dietz, Adolf, Amendmentof German Copyright Law, IICV ol. 33,7/2002 at 829 ff.

⁵¹ Seeibid.at830f.

⁵²

⁵³ TranslationaspublishedinIICVol.33,7/2002,madeby A.Dietz and WilliamCornish.

According to Section 32(1):

"[f]orthegrantofexploitationrightsandpermissiontouseawork, the authorisentitled to the remuneration contractually agreed. If the rate of remuneration is not settled, the remuneration shall be at an equitable level. If the agree dremuneration is not equitable, the author may require from his contracting partner assent to alter the contraction authorises suredan equitable remuneration."

Inotherwords, performersareentitledtoanequitableremunerationforthegranting of exploitation rights relative to their performances. This remuneration is, in the first instance, determined contractually. If, however, the remuneration cannot be agreed, the performer is entitled to an equitable remuneration. The performer may ask her contracting party, in audiovisual productions the producer, to re-negotiate the contraction der to receive an equitable remuneration. This is not, however, possible, if the remuneration for use of her work is settled through a collective (labor) agreement.

So, if there is a collective agreement inforce with regard to the use of the performance by means referred to in the contract, whether it is a collective laboragreement or other similar collective agreement concluded between representative parties, this agreement is to be used as a reference in order to determine whether certain remuneration is equitable. This is the case also when remuneration in a collective laboragreement is determined on the basis of ascale, and the contractually agreed remuneration falls within that scale.

55 Thu performers may not ask for renegotiating the contract for determine quitable remuneration if there is a valid collective agreement for this exploitation sector, and the agreed remuneration is in line with the collective ly agreed remuneration. Remuneration determined in such a collective agreement is always regarded as equitable.

If there is no collectively agreed remuneration in force, the remuneration is equitable if it is determined by a common remuneration standard in conformity with Section 36 of the law. According to the law associations of authors (an dperformers) may establish common remuneration standards with associations of users of works or individual users of works, as a means of establishing the "equity" of remunerations. The common remuneration standards should take account of the circumstance sinthe current field to be regulated, in particular the structure and size of the user or ganization. Collective (labor) agreements shall prevail over common remuneration standards.

Associationseligiblefornegotiatingcommonremunerationstandardsm ust,accordingto thelaw,berepresentative,independentandauthorizedtoestablishcommonremuneration standards. 58

Thelawalsoprovides for a mediation procedure for the determination of common remuneration standards in case parties fail otherwise to reach an agreement with regard to the

⁵⁴ Section 32(4).

See BeschlussempfehlungundBerichtdesRechtsaussuchusses ,23.1.2002, DeutscherBundestag, Drucksache14/8058atpp.18.

⁵⁶ Section32(2).

⁵⁷ Section 36(1).

⁵⁸ Section 36(2).

remunerationstandard. Partiesmaysettletheirdifferencesthroughmediationbycommon agreementoruponthewrittenrequestofoneofthepartiesinfollowinginstances:

- theotherpartyhasnotcommencednego tiationsovercommonremuneration standards within three months after this first party has requested the negotiations in writing.
- thenegotiationsovercommonremunerationstandardsremainwithoutresultone vearaftertheircommencementhasbeenreg uestedinwriting;or
 - apartydeclaresthatthenegotiationshavewhollyfailed.

Themediationpanelmustmakeareasonedsettlementproposalforanagreement containingthegeneralremunerationstandardstotheparties . Theproposal will be take nto be ⁵⁹Further acceptedif, within three months of its receipt, it is not rejected in writing. stipulations with regard to the use, composition and voting procedures of the mediation panel areprovidedinSection36(a)ofthelaw.

Otherwise, remunerationis deemed equitable if it conforms at the time of contracting to $what is regarded as customary and fair in business having regard to the type and scope of the \it the control of the \it the$ 60 permitteduses, and in particular their length and timing, as well as to other circumstances

So, in case there is no collective agreement through which the particular remuneration has been determined and no collectively agreed remuneration standard inforce, the reasonability of the remuneration is to be determined with regard to what would have a superfixed property of the remuneration of the remuneratiavebeen paid, at the time of the conclusion of the contract, in good faithin the course of business taking into consideration the type and scope of the license granted, the duration of the use and all other relevantcircumstancesatthattime.

Itisto benotedthatitisnotsufficientthatcertaintypesofremunerationshave customarilybeen paid in a certain sector, but the paid remuneration should also be fair . Inthis connectionthelegislatorhasclearlysentamessagethatwhatiscustomarymay notalwaysbe fair. 61 However, for an author or aperformer it is in many cases difficult to show that what is a customarywayofremuneratingacertainsector, is not fair .Thus,inpractice,havingcollective laboragreementsorcommonremunerationstan dardsisofprimaryimportanceforauthorsand performers.

Thelawdoes, however, provide for a possibility to revisit the remunerational so with regard to change d circumstances after the conclusion of the contract.Accordingto Section 32(a), paragraph 1:

"[i]fanauthorhasgrantedanexploitationrighttoanotherpartyonconditionswhich cause the agreed consideration to be conspicuously disproportion at each term that the cause the agreed consideration to be conspicuously disproportion at each term of the cause the agreed consideration to be conspicuously disproportion at each term of the cause tadvantages from the use of the work, having regard to the whole of the relat ionship betweentheauthorandtheotherparty, the latershall be required, at the demand of the author, to assent to a change in the agreement such as will secure for the author some furtherequitableparticipationhavingregardtothecircumstances . Itisnotrelevant

⁵⁹ Sections36(3)and(4).

Section32(2).

See Dietzatp.837ff.

whetherthecontractingpartiesforesaworcouldhaveforeseenthelevelofsuchreturns oradvantages."

However, once again the overriding status of collective laboragreements or common remunerationstandardsisemphasizedinthelawb y precludinganyclaimsunderSection32(a) paragraph 1ifsuchagreementsorcommonremunerationstandardsexistandfurther *participationisexpresslyprovidedthereinincasescoveredbythelaw*

Theprovisionofthelawisbasedontheformerso -called"best -seller"paragraphin Section36oftheoldlaw . Thenewprovisionlowersthethresholdforusingthisparagraphin tworespects. Firstofall,undernewlawitisenoughtoshow "aconspicuous" disproportion (auffälligenMissverhältnis) between theexistingremunerationandonewhichwouldbefair The prior law required as howing of "gross" (grobenMissverhältnis)disproportion .According to Nordemann, who was one of the drafters of the original draft of the Law (the "Professoren" of the Professoren" of the Professoren of the Pro Entwurf"), the conspicuous disproportion would be approximately 2/3 of what has been previouslydeterminedasagrossdiscrepancybythecourts .Theseconddifferencebetweenthe newandoldlawisthatitisnolongernecessarythatthedifferencewas"unforeseen."

Indeterminingwhetherthereisaconspicuousdisproportionbetweentheagreed remuneration and the revenues derived from the successful exploitation of the work, also revenuescomingfromsourcesnotdirectlyconnected with the exploitation of the wo rk, such as advertising, must be taken into account . The additional remuneration would typically be a percentageoftherevenuesderivedfromsuccessfulexploitationofthework .Thepreparatory documents of the new law do, however, indicate that, depend ingonthetypeofexploitation,a lump-sumremunerationmayalsobepossible.

Withregardtoaudiovisual performers' rights it is important to note that, according to the preparatorydocumentsofthenewlaw, onlythemainperformersintheworkmayha According to those documents a distinction should be made in underthisprovisionofthelaw. this respect between principal performers, supporting actors and statisticians enough, it is made clear that this is not to be interpr etedalongthesamelinesasthedistinction madeintheFrenchauthor's rights law where protection is granted only formain performers and so called ancillary performers are excluded from the protection.

The "best -seller" provision of the law contains anewrulebyvirtueofwhich afurther remuneration may be claimed against a third party in case the party to the contract has assigned exploitation rights to a third party, and the conspicuous disproportion ofconsiderationandperformanceresultsfrom returns and advantages gained by the third party.

63

⁶² Section 32(a)(4).

Nordemann, Wilhelm, ARevolution of Copyrightin Germany, Journal of the Copyright Society oftheUSA, VOL.49, No.4atp.1045.

⁶⁴ See BeschlussempfehlungundBerichtdesRechtsaussuchusses ,23.1.2002, DeutscherBundestag, *Drucksache*14/8058atpp.19 -20.

⁶⁵ Formulierungshilfe(Antrag)zudemEntwurfeinesGesetzeszurStärkungdervertraglichen StellungvonUrhebernundausübendenkünstlern ,14January2002,p.19,and BeschlussempfehlungundBerichtdes Rechtsausschussesatp.19.

Inthiscasethethirdpartyisdirectlyliabletotheauthor, and the liability of the other contracting partyceases. 66

The claims for additional participation in revenue from exploitation of the workm ay not bewaived in advance and any disposition with regard to the entitlement to which they may give rise is in effective. 67

Likeotherprovisions relating to authors' and performers' right for equitable remunerational so this provision is made mandatory. Thus the usually weaker bargaining position of authors and performers may not be taken advantage of by for cing them to assign or waive the serights or waive the use of them before hand contractually.

Moreover, the law also provides a choice -of-law class eaccording to which Sections 32 and 32 ahave mandatory application in the following cases:

- (1) if,butforachoiceoflaw,theuseagreementwouldbegovernedbyGermanlaw;or
- (2) insofarasthecontractconcernssubstantialuseintheterritory governedby Germanlaw. ⁶⁸

According to Nordemannone of the real effects of Section 32 of the law will be with regard to contract swith international relevance, such as U.S. films eries produced in Germany The provisions of the new law relative to aut hors' right to equitable remuneration and profit sharing may not be circumvented by a choice of foreign law in the contract for any production taking place in Germany. ⁶⁹ Also with regard to significant exploitation acts of the work taking place on the Germany anterritory, the provisions of Sections 32 and 32 are imperatively applicable.

Interestinglyenough,fromthepointofviewofthisstudy,itshouldbenotedthatthe provisioninSection32bwithregardtomandatoryapplicationofGermanlawdoesnot applyto therightsofperformers. 70

Specific provisions regarding audiovisual performer' rights

With regardtorightsofperformersinaudiovisual productions the basic principle underlying the newlawist hat provisions regarding authors' rights also apply toperformers rights, in particular Sections 31(5), 71 32,32a,36,36a and 39 . As stated above, the choice of

According to Section 32a(2), where the other party has transferred the exploitation right or granted further exploitation rights and the conspicuous disproportion results from returns or advantages to a third party, the latter is directly liable to the author under subscription regard to the contractual relations in the license chain. The liability of the other contracting party then ceases.

Section32a(2).

⁶⁸ Section 32b.

Nordemannat1044.

⁷⁰ Section 75.4.

Thisisthesocalled "Zweckübertragungs – principle "accordingtowhich" [i]fthetypesofuseto which the exploitation right extends have not been specifically designated when the right was

law provisions in Section 32 b do not apply to performers `rights . Moreover, taking into consideration the fact that in many productions the number of performers may be considerable, the law provides that:

"[w]hereseveralperformersgiveaperformancetogether, and their respective contributions cannot be separately exploited, they may decide be forethe performance to authorize one person to pursue their claims under Section 32 and 32 a." (Section 75(5)).

Thusperformersasagroupmayappointoneofthemastheirrepresentativein negotiationsregardingtheremunerationandpossibledemandsforadjustmentofthelevelof remunerationun derthe"best -seller"paragraph . Theonlyprerequisitesforthisarethattheir respectivecontributionsmaynotbeseparatelyexploitedandthepersonmustbeappointed beforetheperformancehastakenplace.

Undertheoldlawtherealreadyexistedas pecialprovisionprovidingthatinrespectof choral, or chestral and stage performances the group may electare presentative to act on behalf of all of them for the purposes of giving consent to the use of their performances and for asserting their rights as provided in Sections 74 to 77 of the law. The work of the use of a performance this may be done either individually or through a representative. However, only the representative of the group may assert other rights as afforded in Sections 74 to 77 of the law, for example the remuneration rights.

UnderSection31(4)oftheCopyrightActauthorsmaynotvalidlyassignexploitation rightsforunknowntypesofuses .Thisprovision *doesnot*, however, applytoperformers who may assignfuture exploitation rights with regard to their fixed performances. Nevertheless, the provisions relative to fixing an equitable remuneration and sharing in profits from use of the work do apply with regard to these exploitation methods, even if they are not specified in the contract.

B. COLLECTIVEMANAGEMENTOFPERFORMERS'RIGHTS

Currentsituation

Musicians

Withregardtoorchestramusicianstherecurrentlyexistseparatecollectiveagreements witheachpublicbroadcastingcompanyregardingthei rin -houseorchestras.

Forindividualorchestras(stateandmunicipalorchestrasandoperas)thereexistsa generalcollectivebargainingagreement ⁷³accordingtowhichallrightsfortelevision broadcastingbelongtotheemployer . Forbroadcastingright smusiciansareentitledtoan equitableremunerationinadditiontotheirinitialsalary .AccordingtotheGermanFinance

[[]Footnotecontinuedfrompreviouspage]

granted,thescopeoftheexploitationrightshallbedeter minedinaccordancewiththepurpose envisagedinmakingthegrant ."

⁷² Section80oftheGermanCopyrightAct.

TarifvertragfürdieMusikerinKulturorchestern,latestextensionMay15,2000.

Courtthisremunerationdoesnotincludesocialsecuritypaymentsbutistoberegardedasa separatecopyrightremunerationforper formers.⁷⁴

Otherusesofmusicians' performances are not covered by collective bargaining agreements and are subject to special agreements with performers. Some or chestras, such as the *Berliner Philharmoniker*, have concluded special agreements with regar dto other uses of their performances.

Actors, Singers and Dancers

Thegeneral collective bargaining agreement in the area of film production is a für Film - und Fernsehschaffende of May 1996. This agreement has been concluded between three unions representing film producers (dem Bundes verband Deutscher Fernseh produzenten e.V.; der Arbeits gemeinschaft Neuer Deutscher Spiel film produzentene. V; dem Verband Deutscher Spiel film produzentene. V) on the one hand, and two unions representing author s, performers, film technicians and other employers in film production (der IGMedien - Druck und Papier, Publizistik und Kunst, der DAG Deutschen Angestellten - Gewerkschaft - Berufsgruppe Kunst und Medien) on the other hand . The agreement covers film production outside public broad casting companies.

Untilthebeginningof1995,thecollectivebargainingagreementincludedanextensive sectionrelatingtoexclusiveassignmenttotheproducerofallexploitationrightsinauthors' and performers' contributions with no restriction in respect of content, time or territoriality of the assignment. Then ewagreement still contains the previous text of the assignment initialics, accompanied by the statement that it is no longer validand that the parties obliga tethemselves to negotiate an ewagreement in this respect.

The old clause has still affect with respect to contracts concluded before 1995.

"Die Tarifvertragsparteienerklärenihre Bereitschaft, im Zugeder Aufnahmeder Gesprächezwischen RFFU/IG Medien und den öffentlichen Rechtlichen Rundfunkanstalten

TelephoneinterviewwithMr. *GeraldMertens* ,GeneralDire ctorofthe *Deutscher Orchestervereinigung*.

According to the collective bargaining agreement the prior (no longer valid) text was the following:

[&]quot;DerFilmschaffenderäumtmitAbschlußdesVertragesalleihmetwadurchdasvertragliche BeschäftigungsverhältniserwachsendenNutzungs -undVerwertungsrechteanUrheber -und verwandtenSchutzrechtendemFilmherstellerfürdieHerstellungundVerwertungdesFilms ausschließlichundohneinhaltliche,zeitlicheoderräumlicheBeschränkungein.DieEinräumung umfßt:

⁽a) den Filmals Ganzes, seine einzelnen Teile (mit und ohne Ton), auch wenn sienicht mit ein ander verbunden sind, die zum Filmgehörigen Fotossowie die für den Filmbenutzten und abgenommen en Zeichnungen, Entwürfe, Skizzen, Bauten und dgl.;

⁽b)di eNutzungundVerwertungdesFilmsdurchdenFilmherstellerinunveränderteroder geänderterGestalt, gleichvielmitwelchentechnischenMittelnsieerfolgt, einschließlichWieder oderNeuverfilmungen, derVerwertungdurchRundfunkoderFernsehenundder öffentlichen WiedergabevonFunksendungen, sowiederVerwertungdurchanderezurZeitbekannte Verfahren, einschließlichAV - Verfahrenund - Träger, gleichgültig, obsiebereitsinBenutzung sindoderinZukunftgenutztwerden.

[&]quot;DerFilmherstellererwirbtda sEigentumandeninZiffer3.1agenanntenzumFilmgehörenden Materialien, soweitesihmnicht ohne hinzusteht.

[&]quot;Protokollnotiz:

The collective agreement does not cover freelancers, which has another transfer and the control of the control

Thereexistalsocollectivelaboragreementsforpublicbroadcastingcompanies with detailed copyright clauses. These a greements are applicable in principle only for employed personnel but may, in case, be extended to persons working in employment - like relationships (arbeithnehmerähnliche personen). However, for example, in Südwestrund funk's Tarifvertrag, the copyright clause applies only to employed personnel. These agreements seem to apply only for filmauthors. It is somewhat unclear to what extent they are applied to performers.

Forfreelancersthereexiststandardcontracts(*Musterverträge*)withremunerationclau ses (*Honorarbedingungen*)whichvaryaccordingtothemediabranch. ⁷⁹Intheprivatetelevision andadvertisingsectorsthesecontractsoftenimplicatetheassignmentofallrightstothe producer. ⁸⁰

C. COLLECTIVEADMINISTR ATIONOFRIGHTSBYC OLLECTINGSOC IETIES

InGermany, all secondary uses a sgranted by the law with regard to the rights of audiovisual performers are administered by Gesells chaft zur Verwert ung von Leistungsschutzrechtenmbh (GVL). In practice this means that GVL collects and distribute s remuneration for secondary uses of fixed audiovisual performances in the areas where audiovisual performers are granted aright to an equitable remuneration in the law. Currently remuneration is collected in the following areas:

- (1) rentalandlending of audiovisual works;
- (2) on-the-spotcommunication to the public of published videograms (such as hotels, fair setc.);
- (3) cableretransmission;
- (4) whereabroadcastiscommunicatedtothepublic(e.g.atelevisionsetinahotel). GVLalsoadmini stersreproductionrightsonbehalfofperformersforbroadcastingof videoclipswithregardtoaudiovisualworks.

[Footnotecontinuedfrompreviouspage]

hinsichtlicheinerZahlungvonWiederholungs -undÜbernahmevergütungensowie ErlösbeteiligungennachMaßgabederTarifverträgeBestimmungenüberUrheber -und LeistungsschutzrechteindenTarifverträgenfüraufProduk tionsdauerBeschäftigtedesWDR oderandererRundfunkanstaltenhierzuentsprechendeTarifverhandlungenaufzunehmen."

⁸⁰ *Ibid*.

V.Olenhausen, Albrecht Götz, Der Urheber - und Leistungsrechtsschutz der arbeitnähmer ähnlichen Personen, GRUR 2002, Heft 1, p. 16.

V.Olenhausen, op. cit.p. 17.

V.Olenhausen, op. cit., p. 16.

See,e.g., Henning-Bodewig, Frauke, Urhebervertragsrechtaufdem Gebietder Filmherstellung undverwertung, in Urhebervertragsrecht, Festgabefür Gerhard Schrickerzum 60. Geburtstage, München, 1995, p. 413ff.

In the practice of GVL vide oclips are assimilated to phonograms and GVL administers broad casting of vide oclips as an exclusive right.

The remuneration for rental and lending of videos and DVDs is collected directly from the rental or lending establishment and simply a simply of the rental or lending establishment. Appermission for rental is also required from the film producer.

Inthepublicsectortheremunerationiscollectedfromthemunic ipalityforlendingvideos and DVD sin public libraries.

CablerightsandrentalandlendingofaudiovisualworksareadministeredbyGVL togetherwithothercollectingsocietiesrepresentingauthors(GEMA,VGBILD -KUNST,VG WORT)andproducers(VFF,GWF F,VGF)

GVLadministersrightsonlywithregardtosecondaryuseinGermany(broadcasting, rental,cablere -transmission,communicationtothepublic) .Remunerationforforeignfilms maybeadministeredifthefilmhasbeenbroadcastforthefirsttimeo nGermanspeaking televisionduringthedistributionyear.

ForthoseaffiliateswhichhaveassignedboththeirGermanandforeignrightstoGVLand whichobtaintheirmainincomefromGermany,GVLadministerstheirrightsthrough reciprocalagreementsin thefollowingcountries:Belgium,Denmark,Finland,France,Great Britain,Ireland,Iceland,Japan,Norway,Austria,Poland,Rumania,Sweden,Switzerland, Slovenia,SpainandtheCzechRepublic.

Privatecopying

GVLadministersremunerationforprivat ecopyingjointlywiththeothercollecting societiesinZPÜ(dieZentralstellefürprivateÜberspielungsrechte),locatedat,GEMA.

Distribution of remunerations

Remuneration is distributed to performing artists and phonogram producers according to distribution principles fixed in the Statutes of the Association annually by the GVL Council.

The administration fee of GVL is approximately eight percent.

Uptofivepercentoftheremunerations may be used for the supposition of t

Paymentstoforeignrightholders

GVL collects remunerations for its members and members of other sister organisations by virtue of reciprocal representation agreements. It does not collect remuneration for non-members. Any EU citizen or resident can be come a member of GVL.

Withregardtoaudiovisualperformersnotcitizensorresidentsofamembercountryof the European Union, GVL canon lyacton their behalfunder are ciprocal representation agreement. Iff or eignaudiovisual performers lack similar rights in their own country, GVL is not able to collect remuneration for the mand thus there is also no distribution of remuneration to such rightholders.

D. CONCLUDINGREMARKSW ITHREGARDTOGERMAN REGULATION AND CONTRACTUALPRACTICE SRELATIVETOAUDIOV ISUALPERFORMERS' RIGHTS

UnderGermancopyrightlawperformersinaudiovisualworksaregrantedanexclusive righttoauthorizetherecordingoftheirperformancesonavideorecordingandanexclusive rightt oauthorizethereproductionanddistributionofthevideorecordingonwhichtheir performanceshavebeenfixed .Theyalsohavearighttoanequitableremunerationforpublic communicationofthevideorecordingforexamplebyairlines,andformakingt heperformance perceivablebymeansofabroadcast,forexamplethroughatelevisionsetinahotelroom .

Performersalsohaveanunwaivablerighttorentalandlendingofvideorecordingstothe public Theyalsoreceiveanequitableremunerationforbr oadcastingofthevideorecording.

All secondary userights and rights for equitable remuneration including remuneration from private copying, are administered on behalf of performers by the collecting society GVL.

The German copyright law also contain sapresumption rule with regard to transfer of performers' right stothe producer. According to the law, after a performer has concluded a contract with the film producer on her participation in the production, such contract shall constitute assignment of performer's right stothe producer in case of doubt. The presumption rule does not affect performers' right to equitable remuneration as provided by the law.

Germancopyrightlawalsocontainsaninterpretationrulewithregardtotherightsof employedperformers. Ifaperformerhasgivenaperformanceinexecutionofherdutiesunder acontractofemploymentorofservice, the extentand conditions under which heremployer may use itorauthorize others to use it shall be determined, if not otherwis eagreed, by reference to the nature of the contract of employmentors ervice. However, it should be noted that the new provisions of the Germancopy right law with regard to performers 'rights to equitable remuneration for the exploitation of their performances also apply to employment relationships.

InJuly2002,anewlawamend	ingtheGermancopyrightlaw	theGermanLawon	
StrengtheningtheContractualPost	itionofAuthorsandPerformers	enteredintoforce .'	The
purposeofthislawwastostrength	enthebargainingpositionofautl	norsandperformersin	

⁸¹ CommunicationfromMr.TiloGerlach,DirectorofGVL,May2003.

culturalandmediaindustries . The collective bargaining practices in this sector had not been well developed, and we repractically non - existent for free lance performers.

Theorelogicrunningthr oughthenewlawisthat performersareentitledtoequitable remunerationforallmodesofexploitationoftheirperformances. Thisremunerationis, in the first instance, determined contractually. If the remuneration cannot be agreed, the performer is entitled to an equitable remuneration. The performer may ask her contracting party, in audiovisual productions the producer, tore-negotiate the contractinor der to receive an equitable remuneration. This is not, however, possible, if the remuneration or use of her work is settled through a collective agreement.

So, a collective bargaining agreement or common remuneration standards agreed between associations of performers and users supersedean individual contract. Collective labor agreements prevailover common remuneration standards.

If no collective laboragree mentor common remuneration standards exist, aperformer is entitled to equitable remuneration. The remuneration is deemed equitable if it conforms at the time of contracting to what is regarded as customary and fair in business, having regard to the type and scope of the permitted uses and taking into considerational lrelevant circumstances.

The law also provides for establishment of common remuneration rules through a mediation proce dure in the event that parties fail to achieve common remuneration standards through collective agreements.

Thelawalsocontainsasocalled"best -seller"provisionwhichmakesitpossibleforthe performertorevisittheremunerationifthereisacons picuousdisproportionbetweentheagreed remunerationandtherevenuesderivedfromsuccessfulexploitationofthework. Ifsucha disproportionexists,theperformerhasarighttoafurtherequitableparticipationinthe revenueshavingregardtoallci rcumstances. However,nosuchclaimispossibleifcollective bargainingagreementsorcommonremunerationstandardsexistandfurtherparticipationis expresslyprovidedthereinincasesintendedbythelaw. Thustheoverridingstatusof collectivebarg ainingorcollectivelyagreedremunerationstandardsisonceagainemphasized inthelaw. Withregardtoaudiovisualperformersitshouldbenotedthatonlythemain performersmayassertaclaimunderthisprovisionofthelaw.

The provisions of the end who was regarding performers' right to equitable remuneration and the claim for additional participation in profits are mandatory, and may not be waived in advance.

Tosumup, the new German copyright contract law will undoubtedly contribute to strengthening collective bargaining structures in the audiovisual sector in Germany and ensuring authors and performers an equitable remuneration for the exploitation of their protected contributions. It is still too early to predict what the senew contractual pract look like in reality.

III. CONCLUDINGREMARKSW ITHREGARDTOTHECO NTRACTUAL PRACTICESANDRELATE DREGULATIONOFAUDI OVISUALPERFORMERS INFRANCEANDGERMAN Y

BywayofconclusionwecanstatethatthenewGermanlawoncopyrightcontracts is modeledverymuchalongthelinesoftheFrenchauthors'rightslawof1985 .Bothlawsgrant performersarighttoauthorizethefixation,reproductionandpubliccommunicationoftheir fixedperformancesinconnectionwithaudiovisualworksandconta inapresumptionof assignmentofallexploitationrightstotheproducerundercertainconditions.

Withregardtoremuneratingperformersthebasicstructureinthetwolawsisalsosimilar Bothlawsencouragedeterminingtheremunerationforperform ersincollectivebargaining agreements, which set the minimum level of remuneration and are given priority over other agreements. Failing the establishment of common level of remunerations by collective bargaining, both laws provide for a mediation proce dure through which common tariffs may be established.

The Frenchregulation is firmly anchored in labor law, and the protection of performers' rightsunderauthor's rightslawrefersbacktogenerallaborlaw .Thishasbeenadvantageous forperformers because the remuneration structure for the use of their performances has been constructedbasedonlaborlaw . Incollectivebargainingagreementsperformersarebeing remuneratedbyaso -calledresidualsystem,accordingtowhichtheremunerationisdeterm ined infunction of their salary and is thus regarded as a salary. Social security benefits are included insalary -basedpaymentswhichmakesthissystemmorebeneficialforperformersthan Itisalsoveryimportantthatthe copyrightroyaltieswouldbe. Frenchcollectivebargaining agreements for performers, with the exception of musicians' agreements, have been extended to applyalsowithregardtopersonswhoarenotrepresented by the contracting parties .Thusa commonsetofminimumremunerationstan dardsandageneralremunerationstructurehasbeen settocoverthewholefield.

ThemajorsetbackoftheFrenchsystemrelatestothefactthatperformers'unionsare ofteninaweakerbargainingpositionincollectivebargainingnegotiationsthanthei remployer counterparts, which has meant that the remuneration levels they have been able to attain for use of their performances could have been higher. For example, calculating the remunerations as two percent of producer's net revenues from exploitation nof the film as is done under the special agreement relative to performing artists employed in film productions (Accord spécifique concernant les artistes interprètes en gagés pour la réalisation d'une oeuvre cinématographique) may le aveper former swith no thing, because it is only in rare cases that even successful films show any profits according to the accounts.

ThenewGermanlawhasattemptedtocorrecttheunfairbargainingposition of performers by giving them the right to revisit the contractual remuneration in the event that exploitation of the film proves to be more successful than initially anticipated. This is not, however, possible in cases where the remuneration has been determined at a collective level, either in a collective bargaining agreement or by common remuneration standards. So, it would not give any solace in a case such as that described above relating to remuneration of audiovisual performers in the French collective bargaining agreement for film production.

Itisstillfartoo earlytopredictwhetherthenewGermanlawwillsucceedinreinforcing thebargainingpositionofauthorsandperformersandestablishingcommonremuneration standardsatacollectivelevelfortheuseofprotectedworksandperformances

TheFrench

 $law\ has already been inforce for close to 20 years and the collective bargaining practices have had time to develop, but performers are still complaining that it is difficult to include new modes of exploitation in these agreements and to achieve fair remuner at ion for all forms of exploitation of their performances.$

[Endofdocument]